arXiv:0811.3480v1 [math-ph] 21 Nov 2008

Universal fermionization of bosons on permutative representations of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$

Katsunori Kawamura*
College of Science and Engineering Ritsumeikan University,
1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

Abstract

Bosons and fermions are described by using canonical generators of Cuntz algebras on any permutative representation. We show a fermionization of bosons which universally holds on any permutative representation of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. As examples, we show fermionizations on the Fock space and the infinite wedge.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000). 46K10, 46L60

Key words. Cuntz algebra, universal fermionization

1 Introduction

We have studied bosonization, fermionization and boson-fermion correspondence by using the representation theory of operator algebras. In this section, we explain known fermionizations of bosons and our main theorem. We start with problems in fermionization of bosons.

1.1 What is a fermionization of bosons?

It is often said that bosons can be written by using fermions in various contexts [11, 18, 20]. Such a description is called a *fermionization of bosons* in the broad sense of the term. This never means that the $*$-algebras of bosons is embedded into the $*$-algebra of fermions as a $*$-subalgebra. Furthermore, neither a C*-algebra nor a von Neumann algebra generated by fermions contains the $*$-algebras of bosons ([13], § 1.1.1). Such a fermionization means a description of bosons by using *not only* fermions *but also* the normal order with respect to its representation [17, 19], or the description of Weyl forms of bosons by using a certain operator algebra of fermions [10]. In consequence, any known fermionization of bosons *must* depend on some representation of the algebra of fermions even if the representation is not apparently mentioned.

---

*e-mail: kawamura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

In consideration of these facts, our question is stated as follows.

Problem 1.1 *Is there a fermionization of bosons which does not depend on the choice of representation of the algebra of fermions?*

The aim of this paper is to give a weak solution for Problem 1.1. The new idea is that our fermionization has a kind of universality, which is derived from the representation theory of a Cuntz algebra.

1.2 Recursive boson system and recursive fermion system

In order to define our fermionization, we briefly explain recursive boson system and recursive fermion system in this subsection. Let $\mathcal{O}_2$ denote the Cuntz algebra [7] with canonical generators $\{t_1, t_2\}$, that is, they satisfy that

$$t_i^* t_j = \delta_{ij} I \quad (i, j = 1, 2), \quad t_1 t_1^* + t_2 t_2^* = I. \tag{1.1}$$

For $\mathbf{N} \equiv \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, let $\{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ denote bosons and fermions, that is,

$$b_n b_m^* - b_m^* b_n = \delta_{nm} I, \qquad b_n b_m - b_m b_n = b_n^* b_m^* - b_m^* b_n^* = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$a_n a_m^* + a_m^* a_n = \delta_{nm} I, \qquad a_n a_m + a_m a_n = a_n^* a_m^* + a_m^* a_n^* = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

for each $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$. We can describe $\{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ by using $\{t_1, t_2\}$ as follows [14]:

$$b_1 = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sqrt{m}\, t_2^{m-1} t_1 t_1^* (t_2^*)^m, \qquad b_n = \rho(b_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 2), \tag{1.4}$$

$$a_1 = t_1 t_2^*, \qquad a_n = \zeta(a_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 2) \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{m \geq 1} t_2^{m-1} t_1 x t_1^* (t_2^*)^{m-1}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\zeta(x) = t_1 x t_1^* - t_2 x t_2^* \tag{1.7}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{O}_2$. We call these descriptions of $\{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and $\{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ as the *recursive boson system (=RBS)* and the *recursive fermion system (=RFS)*, respectively.

Remark that $\{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in (1.4) and $\rho(x)$ in (1.6) are not well-defined in $\mathcal{O}_2$, but they make sense as operators on the reference subspace of any permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ by Remark 1.1 in [14], which are defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 *[6, 8, 9, 13] A representation $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ is permutative if there exists an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{E} \equiv \{e_n : n \in \Lambda\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(t_i)\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. We call $\mathcal{E}$ and the linear hull $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ by the reference basis and the reference subspace of $(\mathcal{H}, \pi)$, respectively.*

Any permutative representation is decomposed into the direct sum of cyclic permutative representations unique up to unitary equivalence. Unitary equivalence classes of irreducible permutative representations are completely classified. There exist uncountably many unitary equivalence classes of irreducible permutative representations [6, 8, 9].

The RFS and the RBS induce branching laws of $*$-representations of Cuntz algebras on fermions and bosons [1, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14], and a nonlinear transformation group of fermions [2].

1.3 Main theorem

In this subsection, we show our main theorem. Let t_1, t_2 denote canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in (1.1). Assume that they act on a permutative representation with the reference subspace $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the $*$-algebra generated by fermions $\{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in (1.5). Define the subset $\{W_n : n \geq 0\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$W_0 \equiv a_1 a_1^*, \quad W_n \equiv a_{n+1} a_{n+1}^* a_n^* a_n \cdots a_1^* a_1 \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{1.8}$$

Then we can verify that $\{W_n : n \geq 0\}$ is an orthogonal family of projections, that is,

$$W_n^* = W_n, \quad W_n^2 = W_n, \quad W_n W_m = 0 \quad (n \neq m), \tag{1.9}$$

on $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 0} W_n = I$ on $\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 1.3 (i) *For ρ in (1.6), $\rho(a_n) = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m a_{n+m+1} W_m$ for $n \geq 1$ where the formal infinite sum is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$.*

(ii) *For any operators x, y on $\mathcal{D}$, $\rho(xy) = \rho(x)\rho(y)$ on $\mathcal{D}$.*

(iii) *Define the formal infinite sum Y of fermions by*

$$Y \equiv a_1^* a_2 + \sum_{n\geq 2} a_1^* a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}^* a_{n-1} a_n^* a_{n+1}. \tag{1.10}$$

Then the sum in (1.10) is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$ and $Y\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$.

From Lemma 1.3(i), if x is a formal infinite sum of fermions acting on $\mathcal{D}$, then so is $\rho(x)$.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the reference subspace of a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ and let t_1, t_2 denote canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_2$ acting on $\mathcal{D}$. Let ρ, W_n, Y be as in (1.6), (1.9) and (1.10), respectively. For $n \geq 1$, define the set $\{F_n : n \geq 1\}$ of formal infinite sums of fermions by*

$$F_1 \equiv \sum_{m\geq 1} \sqrt{m}\, W_m, \quad F_n \equiv Y\rho(F_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{1.11}$$

Then the following holds:

(i) *Formal infinite sums in F_n's are actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$ and $F_n\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ for each $n \geq 1$.*

(ii) *For $n \geq 1$, a boson b_n in (1.4) is written as the product of t_2^* and F_n:*

$$b_n = t_2^* F_n. \tag{1.12}$$

Theorem 1.4 shows that bosons are written by using fermions and one of (the $*$-conjugate of) canonical generators, t_2^* of $\mathcal{O}_2$. The universality of the fermionization of bosons (1.12) holds on the reference subspace of *any* permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$. Hence a weak solution of Problem 1.1 is given. We see that a boson b_n corresponds with the "cluster" F_n of fermions by the canonical generator t_2^* of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in a purely algebraic sense.

Remark 1.5 (i) The formula (1.12) is derived from the RBS (1.4), the RFS (1.5) and permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_2$ but not from a specific physical model. Especially, our fermionization has no relation with the dimension of any space-time.

(ii) Instead of normal product or operator topologies, we use t_2^* in (1.12). Hence b_n is not written by using only fermions.

(iii) The fermionization (1.12) holds on any permutative representation even if it is not irreducible.

(iv) Theorem 1.4 shows that any boson is written as (the limit of) even numbers of fermions except t_2^*.

According to [14], we show the significance of the fermionization (1.12). For a given permutative representation π of $\mathcal{O}_2$, we obtain the restriction $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ of π on the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of fermions. From the fermionization (1.12), we obtain the representation $(\pi|_{\mathcal{A}})|_{\mathcal{B}}$ of bosons. The operation

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto (\pi|_{\mathcal{A}})|_{\mathcal{B}} \tag{1.13}$$

seems that $(\pi|_{\mathcal{A}})|_{\mathcal{B}}$ was the restriction of $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ which is the $*$-algebra of bosons. Remark that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not irreducible even if π is irreducible. The operation (1.13) holds on any permutative representation π of $\mathcal{O}_2$, but does not always hold on representation of fermions.

At the last of this section, we consider the inverse formula of Theorem 1.4. When fermions are written by using bosons, such a description is called a *bosonization of fermions* in the broad sense of the term [5, 11, 16, 21]. Theorem 1.4 brings the following natural question.

Problem 1.6 *In analogy with Theorem 1.4, find bosonization formulae of fermions, which is universally holds on the reference subspace of any permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$.*

In § 2, we prove Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. In § 3, we show examples of Theorem 1.4.

2 Proofs of theorems

In this section, we prove Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4.

2.1 Permutative representations of Cuntz algebras

For $N = 2, 3, \ldots, +\infty$, let $\mathcal{O}_N$ denote the *Cuntz algebra* [7], that is, a C*-algebra which is universally generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_N$ satisfying $s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} I$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i s_i^* = I \quad (\text{if } N < +\infty), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i s_i^* \leq I, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (\text{if } N = +\infty)$$

where I denotes the unit of $\mathcal{O}_N$.

Let $\{t_1, t_2\}$ and $\{s_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ denote canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, respectively. We introduce two (classes of) permutative representations of $\mathcal{O}_2$.

Definition 2.1 *[1, 4, 12, 14] We write a class $P(1)$ (resp. $P(12)$) of representations $(\mathcal{H},\pi)$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ with a cyclic unit vector $\Omega\in\mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(t_1)\Omega=\Omega$ (resp. $\pi(t_1t_2)\Omega=\Omega$).*

Both $P(1)$ and $P(12)$ contain only one unitary equivalence class. Hence we identify $P(1)$ and $P(12)$ with their representatives, respectively. They are irreducible and permutative but not unitarily equivalent.

By using the embedding of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ into $\mathcal{O}_2$ defined by

$$s_n = t_2^{n-1}t_1 \quad (n\geq 1), \tag{2.1}$$

the restriction of any permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ is also permutative. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{D}$ is the reference subspace of a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is that of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. Then the following holds.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\{s_n : n\in\mathbf{N}\}$ be as in (2.1) and let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the reference subspace of a permutative representation of $\mathcal{O}_2$. Then, for any $v\in\mathcal{D}$, $s_n^*v=0$ except finite number of $n\in\mathbf{N}$.*

Proof. By definitions of permutative representation and the reference subspace, we see that $\mathcal{D}=\bigcup_{n\geq 1}s_n\mathcal{D}$ and $s_n\mathcal{D}\cap s_m\mathcal{D}=\{0\}$ when $n\neq m$. For any $v\in\mathcal{D}$, there exists a nonempty finite subset A of $\mathbf{N}$ such that $v\in\oplus_{k\in A}s_k\mathcal{D}$. Hence $s_n^*v=0$ when $n\notin A$. Therefore the statement holds. ∎

The essential part of our fermionization is derived from (2.1). The relation (2.1) also appears in the metric theory of continued fractions [15].

2.2 Proofs of Lemma 1.3 and Theorem 1.4

Define the subset $\{X_n : n\geq 1\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$X_1\equiv a_1^*a_2, \quad X_n\equiv a_1^*a_1\cdots a_{n-1}^*a_{n-1}a_n^*a_{n+1} \quad (n\geq 2). \tag{2.2}$$

By definition, the following holds.

Lemma 2.3 *Let ρ, W_n, s_n, X_n be as in (1.6), (1.8), (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. Then the following holds:*

(i) *For each $n\geq 1$, $t_2s_n=s_{n+1}$.*

(ii) *For each $n\geq 0$, $W_n=s_{n+1}s_{n+1}^*$.*

(iii) *For each $n\geq 1$, $s_nt_2^*s_n^*=t_2^*X_n$.*

(iv) *For $\{a_n\}$ in (1.5), we obtain the following:*

$$s_m a_n = (-1)^{m-1} a_{n+m} s_m, \quad s_m a_n^* = (-1)^{m-1} a_{n+m}^* s_m \quad (n, m \geq 1).$$

Proof of Lemma 1.3. (i) By definition, $\rho(a_n) = \sum_{m\geq 1} s_m a_n s_m^*$. From Lemma 2.3(ii) and (iv), $s_m a_n s_m^* = (-1)^{m-1} a_{n+m} W_{m-1}$ for $m \geq 1$. For any $v \in \mathcal{D}$, $W_n v = 0$ except finite number of $n \in \mathbf{N}$ from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3(ii). Hence the sum $\sum_{m\geq 1}(-1)^{m-1} a_{n+m} W_{m-1}$ is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$.
(ii) Let $v \in \mathcal{D}$. From Lemma 2.2, there exists a nonempty finite subset A of $\mathbf{N}$ such that $s_n^* v = 0$ when $n \notin A$. Hence

$$\rho(xy)v = \sum_{m\geq 1} s_m x y s_m^* v = \sum_{m\in A} s_m x y s_m^* v. \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand, $\rho(y)v = \sum_{m\in A} s_m y s_m^* v \in \mathcal{D}$. From this,

$$\rho(x)\rho(y)v = \rho(x)\sum_{m\in A} s_m y s_m^* v = \sum_{m\in A} \rho(x) s_m y s_m^* v = \sum_{m\in A} s_m x y s_m^* v. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence the statement holds.
(iii) By definition and Lemma 2.3(iii), $Y = \sum_{n\geq 1} X_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} s_{n+1} t_2^* s_n^*$. From Lemma 2.2, the sum in Y is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$. Since $s_{n+1} t_2^* s_n \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$, $Y\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}$. ∎

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (i) From Lemma 2.3(ii) and Lemma 2.2, the sum in F_1 is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$. From Lemma 1.3(iii) and Lemma 2.2, the sum in $\rho(F_1)$ is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$. From this, $F_2 = Y\rho(F_1)$ is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$. In this way, we see that the sum in F_n is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(ii) From Lemma 2.3(iii) and Lemma 1.3(iii), we see that

$$\rho(t_2^*) = \sum_{n\geq 1} s_n t_2^* s_n^* = t_2^* \sum_{n\geq 1} X_n = t_2^* Y \tag{2.5}$$

for Y in (1.10).

From (1.4) and Lemma 2.3(ii), we obtain that $b_1 = t_2^* \sum_{n\geq 1} \sqrt{n}\, W_n$ where the formal infinite sum of fermions is actually finite on $\mathcal{D}$ from Lemma 2.2. Hence the case $n = 1$ holds. Assume that (1.12) holds for $n \leq n_0$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$. From Lemma 1.3(ii) and (1.4),

$$b_{n_0+1} = \rho(b_{n_0}) = \rho(t_2^* F_{n_0}) = \rho(t_2^*)\rho(F_{n_0}). \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and the definition of F_n, we obtain that $b_{n_0+1} = t_2^* F_{n_0+1}$. By the inductive method, the statement holds. ∎

3 Examples

We show examples of Theorem 1.4 in this section.

3.1 F_1 and F_2

We show more concrete representations of F_1 and F_2 in (1.11) as follows.

Proposition 3.1

$$F_1 = \sum_{n\geq 1}\sqrt{n}a_1^*a_1\cdots a_n^*a_na_{n+1}a_{n+1}^*, \tag{3.1}$$

$$F_2 = \sum_{n,m\geq 1}\sqrt{m}a_1^*a_1\cdots a_{n-1}^*a_{n-1}a_n^*a_{n+1}a_{n+2}^*a_{n+2}\cdots a_{n+m}^*a_{n+m}a_{n+m+1}a_{n+m+1}^* \tag{3.2}$$

where we write $a_0 = a_0^* = I$.

Proof. By definition, (3.1) holds. For $n \leq m$, define $R[n,m] \equiv a_n^*a_n\cdots a_m^*a_m$. Then we can verify that $\rho(W_m) = \sum_{l\geq 0} a_{m+l+2}a_{m+l+2}^*R[l+2, l+1+m]W_l$ for each $m \geq 1$. From this, (3.2) is verified. ∎

3.2 Fermionization on the Fock representation

In this subsection, we show that the fermionization (1.12) induces the Bose-Fock representation from the Fermi-Fock representation.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ denote $*$-algebras generated by $\{b_n : n \geq 1\}$ in (1.2) and $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$ in (1.3), respectively. We assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is embedded into $\mathcal{O}_2$ by (1.5).*

(i) *Let $(\mathcal{H}_F, \pi_F)$ be the Fermi-Fock representation of $\mathcal{A}$ with the vacuum Ω, that is, Ω is a cyclic unit vector of $\mathcal{H}_F$ such that*

$$\pi_F(a_n)\Omega = 0 \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{3.3}$$

Then there exists a cyclic action $\tilde{\pi}_F$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on $\mathcal{H}_F$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_F|_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_F$ and $\tilde{\pi}_F(t_1)\Omega = \Omega$.

(ii) *The cyclic representation $(\mathcal{H}_F, \pi_F, \Omega)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ in (i) induces the Bose-Fock representation with Ω as the Bose-Fock vacuum, with respect to the fermionization (1.12), that is,*

$$\tilde{\pi}_F(b_n)\Omega = 0 \quad (n \geq 1) \tag{3.4}$$

and $\tilde{\pi}_F(\mathcal{B})\Omega$ *is a dense subspace of* $\mathcal{H}_F$ *with respect to (1.4).*

Proof. From Theorem 1.2(ii) of [14], the former statement of (i) holds. From (i), $(\mathcal{H}_F, \tilde{\pi}_F)$ is $P(1)$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in Definition 2.1. Hence it is permutative. From Theorem 1.2(i) of [14], the latter statement of (i) holds. From the uniqueness of the action of $\mathcal{O}_2$ in (i), The statement (ii) holds from Theorem 1.2(iii) of [14]. ∎

In consequence, the fermionization (1.12) preserves Fock vacua of fermions and bosons.

In fact, we show (3.4) for $n = 1, 2$ here. We write $\pi_F(x)$, $\tilde{\pi}_F(y)$ as x, y for the simplicity of description. From (1.12),

$$b_n^* = F_n^* t_2 \quad (n \geq 1). \tag{3.5}$$

Let Ω be as in (3.3). For $n \geq 1$, let $R_n \equiv a_1^* a_1 \cdots a_n^* a_n$. From (3.5),

$$\begin{array}{rl} b_1^*\Omega = & F_1^* t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{n\geq 1} \sqrt{n} W_n t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{n\geq 1} \sqrt{n} a_{n+1} a_{n+1}^* R_n t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{n\geq 1} \sqrt{n} a_{n+1} a_{n+1}^* a_n^* a_n \cdots a_2^* a_2 a_1^* \Omega \\ = & a_2 a_2^* a_1^* \Omega \\ = & a_1^* \Omega. \end{array}$$

From (3.2),

$$\begin{array}{rl} b_2^*\Omega = & F_2^* t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{n,m\geq 1} \sqrt{m} R_{n-1} a_{n+1}^* a_n a_{n+2}^* a_{n+2} \cdots a_{n+m}^* a_{n+m} a_{n+m+1} a_{n+m+1}^* t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{m\geq 1} \sqrt{m} a_2^* a_1 a_3^* a_3 \cdots a_{1+m}^* a_{1+m} a_{2+m} a_{2+m}^* t_2 \Omega \\ = & \sum_{m\geq 1} \sqrt{m} a_3^* a_3 \cdots a_{1+m}^* a_{1+m} a_{2+m} a_{2+m}^* a_2^* \Omega \\ = & a_3 a_3^* a_2^* \Omega \\ = & a_2^* \Omega. \end{array}$$

3.3 Fermionization on the infinite wedge

According to [12], we show the fermionization on the infinite wedge. Define $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1/2 \equiv \{n + 1/2 : n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1/2$, rewrite $\{a_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in (1.3) as

$$\psi_k \equiv a_{2k+1}, \quad \psi_{-k} \equiv a_{2k}. \tag{3.6}$$

Of course, the $*$-algebra generated by $\{\psi_k,\, \psi_{-k} : k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1/2\}$ coincides with $\mathcal{A}$. Let Ω and Ω^* be vectors in a representation space of $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\psi_{-k}\Omega = \psi_k^*\Omega = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1/2), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\psi_k\Omega^* = \psi_{-k}^*\Omega^* = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1/2). \tag{3.8}$$

The cyclic subrepresentation of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by Ω (*resp.* Ω^*) is called the *infinite wedge representation* (*resp. dual infinite wedge representation*). From (3.6),

$$a_{2n-1}\Omega = a_{2n}^*\Omega = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}), \tag{3.9}$$

$$a_{2n}\Omega^* = a_{2n-1}\Omega^* = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{3.10}$$

From [12], they are irreducible and they are not unitarily equivalent.

Proposition 3.3 (i) *Let $(\mathcal{H}_{IW}, \pi_{IW})$ and $(\mathcal{H}_{IW^*}, \pi_{IW^*})$ be the infinite wedge representation with the vacuum Ω and the dual infinite wedge representation with the dual vacuum Ω^* of $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. Then there exists a cyclic action π_2 of $\mathcal{O}_2$ on $\mathcal{H}_{IW} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{IW^*}$ such that $\pi_2|_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{IW} \oplus \pi_{IW^*}$ and $\pi_2(t_2)\Omega = \Omega^*$ and $\pi_2(t_1)\Omega^* = \Omega$.*

(ii) *The direct sum representation $(\mathcal{H}_{IW} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{IW^*}, \pi_{IW} \oplus \pi_{IW^*})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ induces the following representation $\eta \equiv (\pi_{IW} \oplus \pi_{IW}^*)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ of bosons with the cyclic vector Ω in (i), with respect to the fermionization (1.12):*

$$\eta(b_n b_n^*)\Omega = 2\Omega \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}). \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. (i) See Theorem 1.1(ii) in [12].
(ii) We see that the cyclic representation η in (3.11) is $BF_{1,1}(2)$ in Definition 3.4 of [14]. Furthermore we see that π_{IW} and π_{IW^*} are $FF_{2,1}$ and $FF_{2,2}$ in Example 3.7(ii) of [14], respectively. From Example 4.7(ii) in [14], we see that they are the restrictions of $P(12)$ in Definition 2.1. Hence the statement holds. ∎

Remark 3.4 From Proposition 3.3, two irreducible representations of fermions induce one irreducible representation of bosons with respect to the fermionization (1.12). On the other hand, the infinite wedge representation is decomposed into the direct sum of countably infinite many Bose-Fock representations with respect to the fermionization in [17, 19]. Hence our fermionization is different from that in [17, 19].

References

[1] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Recursive fermion system in Cuntz algebra. I —Embeddings of fermion algebra into Cuntz algebra—. Commun. Math. Phys. **228**, 85–101 (2002)

[2] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Nonlinear transformation group of CAR fermion algebra. Lett. Math. Phys. **60**, 101–107 (2002)

[3] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Pseudo Cuntz algebra and recursive FP ghost system in string theory. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 607–625 (2003)

[4] Abe, M. and Kawamura, K.: Branching laws for endomorphisms of fermions and the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. J. Math. Phys. **49**, 043501-01–043501-10 (2008)

[5] Acerbi, F., Morchio, G. and Strocchi, F.: Algebraic fermion bosonization. Lett. Math. Phys. **26**, 13–22 (1992)

[6] Bratteli, O. and Jorgensen, P. E. T.: Iterated function systems and permutation representations of the Cuntz algebra. Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **139**, 1–89 (1999)

[7] Cuntz, J.: Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries." Commun. Math. Phys. **57**, 173–185 (1977)

[8] Davidson, K. R. and Pitts, D. R.: The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras. Math. Ann. **311**, 275–303 (1998)

[9] Davidson, K. R. and Pitts, D. R.: Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras. Proc. London Math. Soc. **78**, 401–430 (1999)

[10] Ilieva, N. and Thirring, W.: Anyons and the Bose-Fermi duality in the finite-temperature Thirring model. Theor. Math. Phys. **121**(1), 1294–1314 (1999)

[11] Kamani, D.: Bosonization and fermionization of the superstring oscillators. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **46**, 2725–2737 (2007)

[12] Kawamura, K.: Extensions of representations of the CAR algebra to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ —the Fock and the infinite wedge—. J. Math. Phys. **46**, 073509-1–073509-12 (2005)

[13] Kawamura, K.: Recursive boson system in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$. J. Math. Phys. **48**, 093510-1–093510-16 (2007)

[14] Kawamura, K.: Unitary isomorphism of Fock spaces of bosons and fermions arising from a representation of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$. J. Math. Phys. **49**, 103502-1–103502-14 (2008)

[15] Kawamura, K., Lascu, D. and Coltescu, I.: Jump transformations and an embedding of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ into $\mathcal{O}_2$. e-print arXiv:math.OA/0809.4800v1

[16] Kopietz, P.: *Bozonization of interacting fermions in arbitrary dimensions.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997

[17] Miwa, T., Jimbo, M. and Date, E.: *Solitons: Differential equations, symmetries and infinite dimensional algebras.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000

[18] Nakawaki, Y.: Fermionization of the supersymmetric sine-Gordon model. Prog. Theor. Phys. **74**(2), 426–428 (1985)

[19] Okounkov, A.: Infinite wedge and random partitions. Selecta Math. New Ser. **7**, 57–81 (2001)

[20] Polchinski, J.: *String theory, vol. II.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998

[21] Stone, M.: *Bosonization.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1994